Magnetic field in intergalactic space

Martin Pohl

with Sergei Vafin, Pranab Deka, Iman Rafighi, and Jacek Niemiec

Introduction

- TeV-scale gamma rays produce pair cascades in cosmic voids
- In some cases the cascade emission is not observed
- Possible explanation: Magnetic field at fG level
- Alternative explanation: Plasma instabilities

Introduction

B=few μG



B= ??

Millenium simulation



Neronov 2009

Electromagnetic cascade



Blazars
as beacons

Is it seen? No!

EBL spectrum known reasonably well



Wavelength

Deabsorbed emission

Cascade emission

Upper limit



Neronov & Vovk 2010

Now what?

Magnetic deflection → fG fields required



Deflected signal

Broderick et al. 2018:

Search for isotropized cascade emission from radio galaxies

Nothing seen
→ argues against magnetic deflection



Now what?

Energy losses through plasma instabilities?



Compton scattering

Compton scattering of CMB	ε=5.e-4 eV	
Cascade emission at 4 GeV	γ=3.e6	Electron Lorentz factor
Primary gamma rays	ε=6 TeV	
Photon mean free path is	λ=100 Mpc	We are in voids!!
Compton cooling length	l_c=0.3 Mpc	
Pair density ~ photon density	~ $1/D^2$	Fiducial distance D=50 Mpc

Test dominance of plasma instabilities → use uncooled pair spectrum

Pair beams

Fiducial blazar, spectral index -1.8

EBL spectrum



Pair beam spectrum



Plasma instability

Longitudinal instability

Velocity resonance k_{par} fixed

Maximum growth in oblique direction

1D treatment is dangerous

We need saturation level!

Need to be in the right regime!
Simulation must be carefully designed!

Rafighi et al. 2017

Linear growth rate
Real parameters



Pair-beam simulation

Particle-in-cell simulations for γ=15 pair beam

Analytically estimated growth rate



Wave spectrum



Extrapolation to reality

Simulation too short to capture energy loss

Understanding of saturation allows scaling to real pair beams

Saturation level W_k reflects equilibrium between driving and damping

Analytically estimate saturation level and assume it is constant

Can calculate energy loss rate $\propto \int d^3k\, \omega_I W_k$

Plasma instabilities are ten times faster than Compton scattering

Vafin et al. 2018

Extrapolation to reality

Does the analytical handling of the saturation work?

Nonlinear Landau damping

Numerical treatment
Vafin et al. 2019

→ no constant saturation level



Extrapolation to reality

→ no constant energy loss rate



Subdominant processes matter!

Adding collisions
increases the total energy loss
by a factor 10

What else do we miss??

Summary

Cascade emission following pair production of TeV radiation not seen

Interpretation as magnetic deflection requires strong fields in cosmic voids

Plasma instabilities can cool the pairs and suppress cascading,
alleviating the need for a strong magnetic field

Substantial uncertainties in the estimate
Time-dependence of wave spectrum reduces energy loss rate
Large impact of subdominant processes
Need to follow evolution along the line of sight

A fully time-dependent calculation for specific objects is needed.